

# Public



# Scrutiny

enabled by evidence with a letter from the Dutch House of Representatives  
this Dutch parliament knows a long while about judges' perjury  
this version and the Dutch version are authentic

## Introduction

After several government bodies were notified, but took no action, the House of Representatives was informed of the perjury by courts and their tribunals or judges. Besides the committee also each of the members. A reminder to every member showed the result that almost every member, except 2, put the e-mail address of the sender on their black list, so that the previously sent acknowledgment of receipt was also not sent.

The file is destroyed with a simple letter. This renewed the need for the (then already existing) web file [www.de-openbare-zaak.nl](http://www.de-openbare-zaak.nl). This destruction does not change the fact that the House of Representatives is properly informed and is therefore able to act (in October 2016) according to the seriousness of the facts. But this body did not act, which is the only legal consequence. Because the law article 3:11 BW (Civil Code) prescribes identifying the absence of good faith. Good faith is required for any legal effect.

It is therefore a complete lie that in December 2020 the House of Representatives reported (quote): "first with surprise and finally with deep indignation to have come to this realization", that the fundamental principles of the rule of law have been violated. Because they had been aware of this for years, but only act after publication in the press: Pure discrimination: of the public scrutiny and of all individual citizens who for years are compelled contemptuously to beg and implore for returning their legal possession of human rights back in their possession.

## Insight into the letter

- (1) To individual citizen(s) with low or no status, also government's bodies (time and again) only explain what it cannot (while the citizen knows what it can do);
- (2) Specifically the (submitted) facts identifying the perjury have not been "echoed" in the parliament's letter, only the e-mail but not the attached dossier;
- (3) Again is pointed to another who is blamed, so to taking the action;

Nevertheless, the letter confirms to everyone in the public and in the public scrutiny: That the House of Representatives certainly has sufficient knowledge from October 2016 (because it asks no questions) and yet does nothing; That information provided is aimed at judges.

The investigation by the House of Representatives in December 2020 shows that the House of Representatives created a pseudo-reason to scrap the submitted facts about the perjury and other crimes committed by Dutch judges. The web dossier equally contains several court rulings, so this dossier is equally not an individual case.

# Translation of the original letter in attachment

House of Representatives  
OF THE STATES-GENERAL

V&J Commission

Place and date: The Hague, 13 October 2016  
Subject: Excessive and/or serious abuse of office  
Our reference: 2016Z17257/2016D37391  
Your e-mail from: 23 September 2016

Your above e-mail is on 13 October Handled in a procedural meeting by the standing committee for Safety and Justice in 2016.

In this meeting, the committee decided to take the content of your e-mail for notice. This means that the committee will not take any further action as such. This has to do with the fact that the committee does not interfere in individual cases. This does not detract from the fact that the individual members can take the content of your e-mail into account when forming an opinion on this subject and, if desired, exchange ideas about this with the cabinet during a general consultation on the case law.

On behalf of the committee, I thank you for sending your e-mail.

Yours sincerely,

the Registrar of the Standing Committee on Security and Justice,

DS Nava

House of Representatives of the States General  
PO Box 20018  
2500 EA The Hague

T. 070-3182211  
E.cie.vj@tweedekamer.nl



# Tweede Kamer

DER STATEN-GENERAAL

Commissie V&J

Aan de heer [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Plaats en datum: Den Haag, 13 oktober 2016  
Betreft: Buitensporig en/of ernstig ambtsmisbruik  
Ons kenmerk: 2016Z17257/2016D37391  
Uw e-mail van: 23 september 2016

Geachte heer [REDACTED]

Uw bovengenoemde e-mail is op 13 oktober 2016 door de vaste commissie voor Veiligheid en Justitie in een procedurevergadering behandeld.

In deze vergadering heeft de commissie besloten de inhoud van uw e-mail voor kennisgeving aan te nemen. Dit betekent dat de commissie als zodanig verder geen actie zal ondernemen. Dat heeft ermee te maken dat de commissie zich niet in individuele zaken mengt. Dit neemt niet weg dat de individuele leden de inhoud van uw e-mail kunnen betrekken bij hun oordeelsvorming over dit onderwerp en daarover desgewenst met het kabinet van gedachten te wisselen bij een algemeen overleg over de rechtspraak.

Namens de commissie dank ik u voor de toezending van uw e-mail.

Hoogachtend,

de griffier van de vaste commissie voor Veiligheid en Justitie,



D.S. Nava

Tweede Kamer der Staten-Generaal  
Postbus 20018  
2500 EA Den Haag

T. 070-3182211  
E. [cie.vj@tweedekamer.nl](mailto:cie.vj@tweedekamer.nl)